

A

Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transporation



URBAN Street Design STANDARDS

AUGUST 2017

Table of Contents

| Overview | 3 |
|--|------|
| Vision | 3 |
| Background and Supporting Documents | 3 |
| Use of the Urban Street Design Standards | 4 |
| Development of the New Urban Street Standards | 4 |
| New Urban Street Typologies | 5 |
| Summary of Existing Standards and Urban Street Standards | 14 |
| Appendix A: Urban Street Design Standards | . 15 |
| Appendix B: Additional Design Considerations | .35 |

Overview

This document presents Urban Street Design Standards for use in Prince George's Regional Transit Districts and Local Centers for approval by the County Executive and Council. These new standards were developed by Prince George's Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) in collaboration with other departments that play a role in the planning, development, construction and maintenance of streets and adjacent land uses in the County.

Vision

Streets are the backbone of the urban environment and as such they must accommodate the needs of all users. Complete Streets increase all users' sense that safety and comfort, help businesses and economic centers thrive, and contribute to an overall sense of place and community. As designated Transit Districts and Local Centers in Prince George's County transform from suburban-style development with automobile-focused roadways to urban centers focused on increased transit, walking, and bicycling, well-balanced street design will be more important than ever. The urban standards presented here aim to ensure that all public streets, including privately constructed streets approved by the County as well as publicly funded projects, are Complete Streets which are safe, comfortable, and inviting to all users.

Background and Supporting Documents

A number of County policies and principles support and drive the design intent of the new urban street standards. In 2012, Prince George's County adopted a Complete and Green Streets Policy (CB-83-2012) that stated:

"...All planned County financed and approved road, sidewalk, trail and transit related construction and reconstruction projects shall include environmental site design and facilities for the combined use of motor, emergency and freight vehicles, transit, bicycles and pedestrians, except when cost shall be disproportionate to the projected need or when such facilities would be inappropriate due to the nature of the project, including the context and character of the surrounding built and natural environment of the neighborhood or area."

In October 2015, the Prince George's County Council passed CB-86-2015 calling for the development of new urban street standards. In November 2016, the Council approved CR-085-2016, which contained specifications and standards for Regional Transit Districts and Local Centers. With this legislation, the County adopted "an urban street design policy and principles, consistent with the Council's 2014 approval of its most current general plan for the County, *Plan Prince George's 2035.*" *Plan 2035* established the following important strategy, among others, to become more multimodal and better align transportation with the adjacent land use context:

"Design all capital road improvements and streetscape enhancements and all new development in the Regional Transit Districts, the Innovation Corridor, and Local Centers, to improve multimodal travel for pedestrians, cyclists, transit and other alternatives to the automobile. The primary transportation improvements in these areas should be focused on pedestrian and bicyclist facilities and public transit upgrades and retrofits." The Urban Street Standards presented here align DPW&T's design requirements with the intent of the policies and legislation above. They were developed based on best practices in the metropolitan DC region and across the nation.

Use of the Urban Street Design Standards

As stated in CB-86-2015 and CR-085-2016, the new Urban Street Design Standards are intended for use in designing new and retrofit streets in Regional Transit Districts and Local Centers, as established by *Plan Prince George's 2035. Plan 2035* identified eight Regional Transit Districts that have the necessary transit and transportation infrastructure to support future growth as mixed use centers. The majority of future employment and residential growth is to be directed to the Regional Transit Districts and *Plan 2035* envisions high quality urban design and multimodal transportation in these areas.

Plan 2035 also designates 26 Local Centers, including new Purple Line stations, as focal points for development based on their access to transit or major highways. The Plan envisions these centers to be walkable, particularly in their cores and where transit is available.

Development of the New Urban Street Standards

In order to ensure the new Urban Street Design Standards adequately address the concerns of multiple County departments and align with the complete streets policies cited above, the Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) established a committee of representatives from various departments to develop the new urban standards. Consultants with expertise in developing Complete Streets design standards for jurisdictions in the DC region and throughout the U.S. provided assistance to the committee. Staff from the following departments participated in the committee:

- County Executive's Office (CEX)
- DPW&T (Office of the Director, Office of Engineering & Project Management, Office of Transportation, and Office of Highway Maintenance)
- Department of Permitting, Inspections & Enforcement
- Department of the Environment (DOE) (Stormwater Management Division)
- Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) (Transportation Planning and Community Planning)

The members of this committee held work sessions over a period of several months to arrive at consensus on key topics related to urban street design. Each of the work sessions included a presentation regarding best practices led by an expert in multimodal street design. The sessions also included facilitated discussions regarding how to best apply these practices in Prince George's Urban Street Design Standards. The work session discussion topics included:

- New urban street typologies to supplement suburban-style functional street classifications
- Street designs that achieve desired motor vehicle speeds (including sessions on target design speeds and appropriate travel lane widths)
- Designs that improve conditions for pedestrians, including intersection design to improve pedestrian safety and comfort (including a session on designing street corner radii to produce slower turning speeds)
- Street designs that facilitate stormwater management
- Street designs that improve conditions for bicyclists

The committee agreed that, in order to better balance the needs of pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users and vehicles, it is critical to incorporate the following key elements into the Urban Street Design Standards:

- Slower speeds
- Shorter crossing distances
- Reduced curb radii
- Wider sidewalks
- More bicycle facilities
- Pedestrian amenities

New Urban Street Typologies

Traditionally in Prince George's County, the functional classification of a particular roadway has determined the basic design of the street. Arterials, collectors and local streets have typically been designed to accommodate the anticipated volume of vehicle traffic and desired level of service with less attention paid to the land use context of the roadway. To facilitate a better balance between functional classification, adjacent land uses and the competing needs of various users of the transportation system, DPW&T and the steering committee agreed to establish new street typologies for urban streets, including:

- Mixed Use Boulevard (2, 3, and 4 lane options)
- Neighborhood Connector
- Neighborhood Residential
- Industrial Road
- Shared Street
- Alley

These typologies do not replace the functional classification of the roadway, but should be used as an overlay to better design streets for existing, future and desired land uses, the needs of multiple roadway users, and to encourage the use of walking, bicycling, and transit. The following section describes each of the new urban street types and provides an illustration of a typical cross-section established by the new street design standards. A summary table, with typical dimensions and other characteristics for each street type, is included at the end of this section. The Urban Street Design Standard details are presented in the next section of this document. The street typologies and standards reinforce the policies and principles in *Plan 2035* and various small area plans and are consistent with CB-86-2015 and CR-085-2016. They contain elements and dimensions that encourage multimodal use of the roadway: slower design speeds, fewer travel lanes, wider sidewalks, greater bicycle accommodation and shorter crossing distances.

Notes:

- A) These standards should be read in conjunction with the existing Prince George's County Specifications and Standards for Roads and Bridges (revision 03/14/12).
- *B)* Several of the street types that follow include two variations: Option A, which includes a separated bike lane, and Option B, which does not. Option A is the preferred scenario and Option B is provided as an alternative for retrofit conditions or other cases where right-of-way is particularly constrained.

Mixed-Use Boulevard

Mixed-Use Boulevards are significant roadways that travel through the heart of medium- to high-density mixed-use centers. Buildings along Mixed-Use Boulevards are located close to the street. Mixed-use Boulevards experience heavy transit, pedestrian and bicycle activity and, as such, require slow vehicular speeds, wide sidewalks and short crossings to ensure the safety of all users. Separated bike lanes are recommended on this type of roadway unless traffic volumes are extremely low. Example cross sections are shown on the following pages and additional information is provided in the standard details.

| Street Type | Description | Typical Features |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Mixed Use Boulevard | Buildings close to street Mix of land uses Medium- to high-density land use High volumes of vehicles and transit Medium to heavy pedestrian/bike activity Slow speeds (25 mph) | 2-4 travel lanes Median* Sidewalks & bike facilities Street furniture & enhanced lighting On-street parking |

*For Mixed Use Blvd –Two Travel lanes (A&B), median may be eliminated. See details 100.20 & 100.21.



Example Mixed-Use Boulevard Cross Section: Two Travel Lanes





Neighborhood Connector

Neighborhood Connectors link multiple neighborhoods and provide important walking and bicycling routes between them. Neighborhood Connectors typically have continuous development which may be small- and medium-sized businesses and/or residential; however, the scale of development is less intense than that of the Mixed Use Boulevards. If the neighborhood connector serves as a "main street" destination, it will often have outdoor events and dining along the street edge. These streets encourage bicycle and pedestrian activity and require slow speeds. Major bus routes may occur on these streets. Sidewalk widths will vary depending on the scale of the adjacent residential development. An example cross-section is shown below and additional options are provided in the standard details.

| Street Type | Description | Typical Features |
|--|---|---|
| Neighborhood Connector | Connect multiple neighborhoods Medium density land uses Buildings close to street May feature mixed land uses or be mostly residential with occasional businesses Heavy pedestrian/bike activity; Provide continuous walking and bicycling routes Some are major bus routes Slow speeds (20-25 mph) | 2 travel lanes Bike facilities Sidewalks Lighting Enhanced streetscape In mixed-use/retail areas, space for street furniture, outdoor events & dining On-street parking |
| RW LINE | Example Neighborhood Connector Cross-Section | RW LINE |
| | IE-WAY IE-LANE & FURNITURE BULBOUT BULBOUT | LANDSCAPING SEPARATED ONE-WAY & FURNITURE DIFER DIFFER DIF |
| ← 8 → ← 2 → ← PUBLIC SP | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$ |
| | ł | 1 |

Neighborhood Residential

Neighborhood Residential Streets have low traffic volumes and provide access to single family and multi-family housing. Despite lower volumes of pedestrians than along Mixed Use Boulevards and Neighborhood Connectors, sidewalks are also important along these streets. Due to the low traffic volumes, bicyclists often share the roadway with motorists. On-street parking is provided although in some locations it may be consolidated to one side of the roadway. An example cross-section is shown below and additional information is provided in the standard details.

| Street Type | Description | Typical Features |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Neighborhood Residential | Provide immediate access to single-family and multi-family residences Focus on pedestrian safety and well defined walking paths Bicyclists typically share the roadway or in unseparated bike lanes Slow speeds (20 mph) | 2 travel lanes Sidewalks Street trees Lighting |



Industrial Street

Industrial Streets are fairly limited in the Regional Transit Districts and Local Centers areas, however they do exist. These streets have primarily industrial land uses. It is important to design for moderate to high volumes of trucks while still accommodating some bicyclist and pedestrian use.

| Street Type | Description | Typical Features |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Industrial Street | Serve industrial areas Carry moderate to high volumes of trucks of all sizes Fewer bicyclists and pedestrians, but often they must pass through | 2 Travel lanes Adequate street width and turning radii to accommodate trucks Lighting and Sidewalks |

Example Industrial Street Cross-Section



Shared Street

A Shared Street is a unique, curbless, single surface street that can be shared by users of all modes because it is designed for extremely slow speeds (generally no more than 10 mph). The adjacent land uses are mixed and pedestrians are the dominant mode along such streets.

| Street Type | Description | Typical Features |
|---------------|--|---|
| Shared Street | Multiple land uses Single grade or surface shared by all modes Extremely low speeds (10 mph or less) | Unique pavingStreet furnitureLighting |



Alley

Alleys have an important function in urban areas including deliveries and trash removal. They can also contribute to pedestrian and bicyclist connectivity. They are designed for extremely slow speeds, single vehicle travel and must accommodate room for other objects in the right of way such as trash receptacles.



Example Alley Cross-Section

Summary of Existing Standards and Urban Street Standards

The following table summarizes the key design elements and street dimensions for the county's current roadway types and compares them to the proposed street typologies described above and corresponding urban street standards.

| Current Street Type | Right of Way | Design Speed | Total # of Travel Lanes | Minimum Lane Widths | Median | Buffer | Minimum Turning Radius | On Street Parking | Sidewalk | Bike Facility |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Urban Arterial Road | 120' (130') | 50 mph | 6 | 11'-12' | 24' (26') | 6' (5') | 50' | none | 5' | none/(5' bike lane) |
| Urban Major Collector Road | 100' | 40 mph | 4 | 11'-12' | 20 (16') | 8' (6') | 45' | none | 5' | none/(5' bike lane) |
| Urban 4-Lane Collector Road | 80' | 40 mph | 4 | 11'-12' | none | 11' (5') | 45' | none | 5' | none/(5' bike lane) |
| Urban 5-Lane Collector Road | 80' (90') | 40 mph | 5 | 11' | none | 5' | 45' | none | 5' | none/(5' bike lane) |
| Urban Commercial and Industrial Road | 70' | 35 mph | 4 (2) | 11' (12') | none | 6' | 50' | (11') | 5' | none |
| Urban Primary Residential Road | 60' (70') | 35 mph | 2 (3) | 11' (12') | none | 7' | 37' | 7' (8') | 5' | none/(5' bike lane) |
| Urban Secondary Residential Road | 50' | 30 mph | 2 (1) | 11' (12') | none | 7' | 37' | (7') | 5' | none |
| Additional Urban Street Type* | Minimum Right of Way*** | Design Speed** | Total # of Travel Lanes | Minimum Lane Width | Median Width *** | Minimum Buffer | Minimum Turning Radius | On Street Parking | Minimum Sidewalk | Bike Facility |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (A) -2 Travel Lanes | 99' (89') (83') | 25 mph | 2 | 10' (11' if bus route) | 16' (6') (0') | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 6.5' separated bike lane |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (B) - 2 Travel Lanes | 92' (82') (76') | 25 mph | 2 | 10' (11' if bus route) | 16 (6') (0') | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 5' bike lane |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (A) - 4 Travel Lanes | 119' (109') | 25 mph | 4 | 10' (11' if bus route) | 16' (6') | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 6.5' separated bike lane |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (B) - 4 Travel Lanes | 116' (106') | 25 mph | 4 | 10' (11' if bus route) | 16 (6') | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 5' bike lane with 2' painted buffer |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (A) - Center Turn Lane | 93' | 25 mph | 2 | 10' (11' if bus route) | none | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 6.5' separated bike lane |
| Mixed Use Boulevard (B) - Center Turn Lane | 86' | 25 mph | 2 | 10' (11' if bus route) | none | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 5' bike lane |
| Neighborhood Connector (A) | 83' (75') | 20-25 mph | 2 | 10' | none | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | 6.5' separated bike lane |
| Neighborhood Connector (B) | 66' (58') | 20-25 mph | 2 | 10 | none | 6' | 15' | 8' | 8' | Option to add 5' bike lane |
| Neighborhood Residential | 60' (53') | 20 mph | 2 | 10' | none | 6' | 15' | 7' | 6' | Option to add 5' bike lane |
| Industrial Street | 48' (57') | 20 mph | 2 | 11' | none | 6' | 15' | (9') | 6' | none |
| Shared Street | 50' | 10 mph | 2 | 10' | none | 6' | 15' | none | 8' | none |
| Alley | 20' | 10 mph | 1 | 10' | none | none | 15' | none | none | none |

* Streets in Regional Transit Districts and Local Centers

** For additional horizontal and vertical design constraints relavant to these designs speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets.

*** Figures in parenthesis indicate alternative configurations related to reduction in median width or optional on-street parking shown in the standard details.

APPENDIX A URBAN STREET DESIGN STANDARDS



Footnotes

- The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. Α. The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. See Table I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8 and I-9 of Section I "Roadway Development Guidelines" for subgrade criteria. Graded Aggregate Subbase (GASB). See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) base, 25mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 12.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications."
- В
- C.
- D.
- E.

- Roadway accommodates two (2) 10-foot travel lanes and two (2) 8-foot parking lanes with appropriate striping. Roadway 1. dimensions are measured from face of curb to face of curb. Select travel lanes may be widened to 11' if along a bus route or specified by the Department. Curb extensions may be incorporated into the parking lane at intersections. Curb extensions may be incorporated into the
- 2.
- The 6-foot minimum street buffer and 2-foot minimum sidewalk buffer allow space for street trees, lighting, landscaping, street appurtenances and/or stormwater facilities. The sidewalk buffer can be expanded to allow space for street trees. 3
- The minimum sidewalk width shown in the detail is a clear zone that must be free of obstructions. 3a
- 3b. Refer to Category 500 for street light standards.
- 3c.
- Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-way. Median can be replaced with left turn lanes at intersections where appropriate. A continuous left turn lane may not be used. 4. Median may incorporate stormwater management to the maximum extent practicable. Designer may choose to reverse the traditional cross slope of the roadway and drain toward the median. See Prince George's County DPIE Stormwater Management Design Manual. In areas where center turn lanes are not needed, the median can either be eliminated or reduced to a 6-foot width to allow for pedestrian refuges at intersections.
- Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional horizontal and vertical design constraints. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction. Refer to Standard 300.13 for underdrain details. 5.
- 6
- 7.
- 8.
- Refer to Standards 300.01 and 300.02 for curb and gutter details. Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.10 for sidewalk details and median crosswalk details. 9.
- 10. On each side, a 6.5' wide one-way separated bike lane should be incorporated into road section as shown. See detail 100.32 for more information. Non-separated bike lanes or shared lanes may not be used on this road type. All unpaved areas within the County right-of-way shall receive a minimum of 3" of topsoil and sod.
- 11.
- All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with 12. **Disabilities Act.**
- For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical 13. Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED aust 5. Appl Director | <u>10/4/17</u> DATE | The States | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATIC Prince George's County, MI | ON |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| REVISION DATE: | APPROVED BY: | | Mixed Use | STD. |
| | | DPW & T | Boulevard (A) | |
| | | | 2 Travel Lanes | 100.20 |
| | | | | |







- A. The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. See Table I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8 and I-9 of Section I "Roadway Development Guidelines" for subgrade criteria.
- B. Graded Aggregate Subbase (GASB). See Section II "Technical Specifications."
- C. Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) base, 25mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications."
- D. Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 12.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications."
- E. Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications."

- Roadway accommodates four (4) 10-foot travel lanes, two (2) 5-foot buffered bike lanes with 2-foot painted buffers and two (2) 8-foot parking lanes with appropriate striping. Roadway dimensions are measured from face of curb to face of curb. Specific travel lanes may be widened to 11' if along a bus route or specified by the Department.
- 2. Curb extensions to be incorporated into the parking lane at intersections. Curb extensions may be incorporated into the parking lane mid-block where appropriate. See Standard 100.37 for Curb extension detail.
- 3. The 6-foot minimum street buffer along roadway allows space for street trees, lighting, landscaping, street appurtenances and/or stormwater facilities.
- 3a. The minimum sidewalk width shown in the detail is a clear zone that must be free of obstructions.
- 3b. Refer to Category 500 for street light standards.
- 3c. Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-way.
- 4. Median can be replaced with left turn lanes at intersections where appropriate. A continuous left turn lane must not be used. Median may incorporate stormwater management to the maximum extent practicable. Designer may choose to reverse the traditional cross slope of the roadway and drain toward the median. See Prince George's County DPIE Stormwater Management Design Manual. In areas where center turn lanes are not needed, the median width can be reduced to 6-foot to allow for pedestrian refuges at intersections.
- 5. Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional horizontal and vertical design constraints.
- 6. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction.
- 7. Refer to Standard 300.13 for underdrain details.
- 8. Refer to Standards 300.01 and 300.02 for curb and gutter details.
- 9. Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.10 for sidewalk details and median crosswalk details.
- 10. All unpaved areas within the County right-of-way shall receive a minimum of 3" of topsoil and sod.
- 11. All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 12. For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED: | The Contraction | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATIO Prince George's County, MI | ON |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|
| REVISION DATE: APPROVED BY: | DPWAT | Mixed Use Boulevard (B) 4 Travel Lanes | STD. 100.23 |









- The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. See Table I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8 and I-9 of Section I "Roadway Development Guidelines" for subgrade criteria. Graded Aggregate Subbase (GASB). See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) base, 25mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 12.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Α.
- B.
- C.
- D.
- E.

- Roadway accommodates two (2) 10-foot travel lane and two (2) 8-foot parking lanes with appropriate striping. Alternative configuration shown in parenthesis accommodates two (2) 10-foot travel lanes and one (1) 8-foot parking lane. Roadway dimensions are measured from face of curb to face of curb. Select travel lanes may be widened to 11' if along a bus route 1 or specified by the Department.
- Curb extensions to be incorporated into the parking lane at intersections. Curb extensions may be incorporated into the parking lane mid-block where appropriate. See Standard 100.37 for Curb extension detail. 2.
- 3. The 6-foot minimum street buffer between roadway and sidewalk allows space for street trees, lighting, landscaping, street appurtenances and/or stormwater facilities.
- The minimum sidewalk width shown in the detail is a clear zone that must be free of obstructions. 3a.
- 3b. Refer to Category 500 for street light standards.
- 3c.
- Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-way. Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional 4. horizontal and vertical design constraints. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction. Refer to Standard 300.13 for underdrain details.
- 5.
- 6.
- Refer to Standards 300.01 and 300.02 for curb and gutter details. 7.
- 8. Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.08 for sidewalk and curb ramp details.
- Roadway and right-of-way may be expanded to accomodate bike lane pairs within the roadway. All unpaved areas within the County right-of-way shall receive a minimum of 3" of topsoil and sod. 9.
- 10.
- 11. All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 12. For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED anno S. Jolly 10/4/17 DIRECTOR DATE | TRACE George | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATI Prince George's County, MI | ON |
|--|--------------|--|----------------|
| REVISION DATE: APPROVED BY: | DPWAT | Neighborhood Connector (B) | STD. 100.27 |



Footnotes

- The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. Α. See Table I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8 and I-9 of Section I "Roadway Development Guidelines" for subgrade criteria. Graded Aggregate Subbase (GASB). See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) base, 19mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications."
- B.
- C.
- D.

- Roadway accommodates two (2) 10-foot travel lane and two (2) 7-foot parking lanes. Alternative configuration 1. shown in parenthesis accomodates two (2) 10-foot travel lanes and one (1) 7-foot parking lane. Roadway dimensions are measured from face of curb to face of curb.
- Curb extentions to be incorporated into the parking lane at intersections. Curb extentions may be incorporated 2. into the parking lane mid-block where appropriate. See standard 100.37 for Curb extention detail.
- The 6-foot minimum street buffer between roadway and sidewalk allows space for street trees, lighting, landscaping, 3. street appurtenances and/or stormwater facilities.
- 3a. The minimum sidewalk width shown in the detail is a clear zone that must be free of obstructions.
 3b. Refer to Category 500 for street light standards.
 3c. Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-way.

- Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional horizontal and vertical design constraints. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction. 4.
- 5
- 6. 7.
- Refer to Standards 300.01 and 300.02 for curb and gutter details. Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.08 for sidewalk and curb ramp details. 8.
- Roadway and right-of-way may be expanded to accomodate bike lane pairs within the roadway. 9 See detail 100.32
- 10. All unpaved areas within the County right-of-way shall receive a minimum of 3" of topsoil and sod.
- 11. All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 12. For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED: and 1. App DIRECTOR | 16/4/17 DATE | T AND DO DE | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATI Prince George's County, M | ON |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|----------------|
| REVISION DATE: | APPROVED BY: | DPWAT | Neighborhood Residential | STD. 100.28 |





- Roadway accommodates two (2) 10-foot travel lanes when vehicles are allowed. Roadway dimensions are measured from 1. flowline of valley gutter.
- 2. Roadway shall have surface treatment/texture or alternative materials (pavers, bricks, etc.) to signify shared space for all users.
- 3. The 6-foot street buffer between roadway and sidewalk allows space for street trees, lighting, landscaping, street appurtenances and/or stormwater facilities.
- The minimum sidewalk width shown in the detail is a clear zone that must be free of obstructions. 3a.
- Refer to Category 500 for street light standards. 3b.
- Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-way. 3c.
- Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional horizontal and vertical design constraints. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction. 4.
- 5.
- 6. 7. Refer to Standard 300.13 for underdrain details.
- Refer to Standards 100.39 for Valley Gutter detail Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.08 for sidewalk and curb ramp details 8.
- All unpaved areas within the County right-of-way shall receive a minimum of 3" of topsoil and sod.
- 10. All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with **Disabilities Act.**
- 11. For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED: | T A Star Benge | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATIC Prince George's County, MI | ON |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| REVISION DATE: APPROVED BY: | DPWAT | Shared Street | STD. 100.30 |



| Design Speed | Subgrade (A) | GASB Course (B) | Asphalt Base Course (C) | Intermediate Surface Course (D) | Final Surface Course (E) | Min. Right-of-Way Width |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10 MPH | CBR≥ 7 | 4" | 3" | 1 1/2" | 1 1/2" | 20' |

Footnotes

Α.

The top 12" of in-situ subgrade material shall have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 7. See Table I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8 and I-9 of Section I "Roadway Development Guidelines" for subgrade criteria. Graded Aggregate Subbase (GASB). See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) base, 19mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." Superpave Asphalt Mix (SAM) surface, 9.5mm, PG 64S-22, Level 2. See Section II "Technical Specifications." В

C.

D.

Ε.

General Notes

1.

- Roadway accommodates one (1) 10-foot travel lane. Roadway dimensions are measured from edge of pavement. Using the above design speeds, refer to AASHTO: A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for additional horizontal and vertical design constraints. See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and method of construction. 2.
- 3.
- 4. All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply with Federal accessibility guidelines of the Americans with **Disabilities Act.**
- For additional design guidance and other reference materials relevant to these standards, Refer to Appendix A: Technical 5. Memorandum on Additional Design Considerations.

| APPROVED: IO/ #/17 DIRECTOR IO/ #/17 DATE | The second second | DEP |
|--|-------------------|-----|
| REVISION DATE: APPROVED BY: | | |
| | \DPW/T/ | |
| | | |
| | | |

ARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION Prince George's County, MD

Alley

STD. 100.31









| Sidewalk | Curb & Gutter | |
|---|--|-------|
| Footnotes | | |
| A. Curb ramp slope (S 1) shall be a maximum of 12:1. B. Flare slope (S 2) shall be a maximum of 12:1. C. Top landing shall be a minimum of 4' wide with a maximum slope of D. Cross slopes shall not exceed 2% on landings or sidewalks. E. Detectable warning strip with truncated domes shall extend the full the back of the curb. F. Ramps should be perpendicular to the curb. G. The ramp (not including the flare) should be located entirely within H. In constrained conditions, where fixed objects or other features mato the corner as possible, there must be a curb between the two raminimum width of 6 inches. | width of the ramp and extend 24 inches the marked crosswalk. ake it necessary to position the ramps as | close |
| General Notes | | |
| All new construction within the County right-of-way shall comply we Americans with Disabilities Act. Refer to Category 500 for street light standards. Refer to Category 600 for landscaping within the County right-of-we See Section II "Technical Specifications" for materials and methods Refer to Standards 300.01 and 300.02 for curb and gutter details. Refer to Standards 300.05 through 300.08 for sidewalk and curb r Refer to 300.22 and 300.23 for crosswalk standards. When separate bike lanes are present consideration should be give bike lane with appropriate signs, markings, and pedestrian ramps. channelized with appropriate signs, markings, and channelizing is Area between curb ramps could be landscaped with low plantings | ay. I of construction. amp details. ven to channelizing pedestrian across the Also bicycle movements should be lands. | |
| PPROVED: DIRECTOR REVISION DATE: APPROVED BY: DIRECTOR DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND TRANSPORTATIO Prince George's County, MD Perpendicular Curb Ramp Configuration | N |





APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS RELATED TO URBAN STREET DESIGN

Appendix B: Additional Design Considerations Related to Urban Street Design

Turning Radius

The Urban Street Design Standards recommend a minimum turning radius at intersection corners to reduce vehicles speeds and crash severity while improving pedestrian visibility and limiting crossing distances at intersections. However, on individual projects, the appropriate corner radius should be determined based on context-sensitive design. As such, final design decisions for the turning radius must consider roadway widths, lane configurations, intersection geometry, proximity of buildings, and the design vehicle. The turning radius should be designed for each intersection considering access for emergency vehicles, large trucks, transit vehicles, and school buses as appropriate. Large vehicles may present challenges related to small turning radii, particularly on narrow cross-sections (e.g. the Mixed Use Boulevard B street type.) Restrictions to parking and encroachment into adjacent and oncoming travel lanes should be considered to accommodate infrequent large vehicles turning movements. Designing roadways for large vehicles creates an undesirable environment for pedestrians and bicyclists. The needs of all users must be balanced. The designer should consider the trade-offs and design decisions that can be utilized to limit turning radii, i.e. permitting on-coming lane encroachment for infrequent large vehicles, utilizing mountable curbs, limited use of curb extensions, etc.

The table below can be used as a resource by designers making decisions about corner radii. The table is applicable to right turns which are typically the critical movement on two-way streets. The X axis is the available width for the turning vehicle on the receiving street and the Y axis is the available width on the approaching street. Both widths are measured from the face of the curb to the outer limits of the available area that can be used or encroached within for the swept path of the design vehicle as it turns. The figures indicate an appropriate minimum turning radius using a WB-40 design vehicle (which is slightly larger than a standard transit bus). The WB-40 is a commonly used design vehicle for most situations in cities. For streets with on-street parking, the radius provided using the chart will represent the effective radius, not the actual radius. The chart can still be used but, instead of the available space beginning at the face of the curb, it would start at the edge of the parking aisle.

This chart is not applicable to skewed intersections and when there is a desire to use compound curves instead of a simple radii. Similarly, streets in industrial areas or with significant bus activity may require a particularly tailored approach, for example using a different design vehicle if trucks typically exceed the size of the WB-40, modifying the placement of stop bars, or adjusting the assumptions about encroachment. While this table does not provide definitive turning radii that are applicable to all conditions, it can be a useful tool that informs the design process.

| Radii of | | | | | | | Receiving Width (measured from face of curb) in feet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------|----|----|------|------|-----|--|----|----|------|----|----|-----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|
| Face of Curb | | 10 | 11 | 11.5 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16.5 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21.5 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 26.5 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| | 10 | 95 | 75 | 70 | 65 | | 80 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| | 11 | 90 | 70 | 70 | 60 | | -50 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | 11.5 | 90 | 70 | 65 | 50 | | 20 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | 12 | 90 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 85 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | 13 | 90 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 30 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | 14 | 90 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| | 15 | 85 | 70 | 60 | | 50 | 45 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | -25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| | 16 | 85 | 70 | 60 | | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Approach | 16.5 | 85 | 70 | 60 | | -50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Width | 17 | 85 | 70 | 60 | | 50 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| (measured | 18 | 85 | 65 | 60 | | 頭 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| from face | 19 | 85 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| of curb) | 20 | 85 | 65 | | 50 | 45 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| in feet | 21 | 80 | 60 | 55 | - 50 | 45 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 21.5 | 80 | 60 | 55 | -50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 22 | 80 | 50 | 55 | 30 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 23 | 80 | 50 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 24 | 80 | 00 | | -50 | 40 | 35 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 25 | 80 | 60 | -55 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 26 | 75 | 60 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 26.5 | 75 | 60 | - 56 | 45 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 27 | 75 | 60 | - 90 | 45 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 28 | 75 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 29 | 75 | | -50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 30 | 75 | | 50 | 45 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 1. Turning Radius Design Resource (compiled from ITE Turning Vehicle Template, 2000)

Designing Bike Lanes at Intersections

Bike lanes are intended to encourage bicyclists to ride on the roadway in a position and manner that makes them most visible to motorists entering or exiting the roadway and that is consistent with legal and effective operation of a vehicle. Good intersection design indicates to bicyclists and motorists how they should traverse the intersection; as such, all bike lanes at intersections should provide clear and logical direction to all users. These principles also hold true for separated bike lanes at intersections. For additional guidance on bike lane designs at intersections, consult the AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, the NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide, and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). For more information on separated bike lane design at intersections, consult the FHWA Separated Bike Lane Planning and Design Guide and the MassDOT Separated Bike Lane Planning & Design Guide.

Fire Code Compliance

The Fire Safety Law of Prince George's County (Prince George's County Code of Ordinances – Subtitle 11), as supplemented by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) model codes or standard promulgations, provides the design requirements for public and private street design. Street clear widths are an important design consideration related to fire department access. Consistent with the NFPA, public roadways must provide a 20' clear width to accommodate access for fire apparatus. This clear width is accommodated on all street types in the Prince George's County Urban Street Standards except for the Mixed Use Boulevard (A) with two travel lanes. The previous exception would only provide 18' of clear width and only 10' in areas where parking is allowed. To remedy this, it is advised that sufficient alternative measures should be designed into the median and roadway of any permitted road to allow for 20' of width. These measures could include no parking 50' from hydrants, mountable curbs and reinforced medians in areas where parking is allowed, no parking where median features prevent apparatus from crossing over, etc.

Other Design Resources

There are a variety of valuable reference and resources that designers should use in conjunction with the Prince George's County Urban Street Design Standards. The following is a lists of some key reference materials:

- AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (AASHTO Green Book)
- AASHTO Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities
- AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities
- Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD)
- FHWA Flexibility in Highway Design
- FHWA Separated Bike Lane Planning and Design Guide
- NACTO Urban Street Design Guide
- NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide
- NACTO Transit Street Design Guide
- NCHRP Report 672 Roundabouts: An Informational Guide
- NCHRP Report 766 Recommended Bicycle Lane Widths for Various Roadway Characteristics