CADMUS

November Climate Action Commission Meeting

Prince George's County Climate Action Plan

November 20, 2020

Agenda

- Welcome & Introductions
- Climate Action Planning
 - Best practices in climate action planning
 - o Climate mitigation
 - o Climate adaptation & resilience
 - Designing an effective engagement process & an actionable plan
- Discuss Working Group Membership
- Review and Discuss Proposed Approach
- Discussion & Next Steps

Introductions - Cadmus

Exceed client expectations. Engage, challenge, and reward our team.

Grow and prosper. Make a difference.

Since 1983

Employee-owned social good consultancy

33 years of

helping our clients address complex challenges in a highly collaborative environment



Expertise in sustainability, climate resilience, financing, stakeholder engagement, and serving a variety of clients across the US and internationally



Climate Action Planning Overview

Best Practices in Climate Action Planning



What is a Climate Action Plan?

- Comprehensive roadmap that outlines the specific activities a community will take to:
 - **Reduce** greenhouse gas emissions
 - **Prepare** a community for the impacts of climate change
- Actions to reduce emissions and prepare for the impacts of climate change are often structured in a way to simultaneously improve quality of life and enhance economic vitality

Climate Adaptation vs. Mitigation

Adaptation : Taking steps to live with the effects of global warming

Mitigation: Slowing the rate of global warming

Adaptation

Afforestation, Open space preservation

Land use changes, Relocation

Infrastructure protection Building design

Flood mitigation

Emergency Response

Business Continuity plans

Community engagement

Green Infrastructure

Power System Resilience

Protect Sustainable Transportation

Water & Energy Conservation

Building Weatherization

Mitigation

Energy efficiency

Renewable energy

Combined heat and power

Sustainable transportation

Methane capture and use

Industrial process improvements

Carbon sinks



Source: CCAP

CADMUS

General CAP Process

Overview of high-level CAP planning process for communities as outlined by Local Governments for Sustainability (ICLEI).



Global and Regional Context

- Global:
 - Paris Agreement adopted in 2015
 - Keep the global temperature rise this century well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels.

• Maryland:

- Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 (GGRA)
 - 25% reduction below 2006 levels by 2020
 - 2015 update: 40% below 2006 levels by 2030
- 2019 GGRA Draft Plan and 2016 Hazard Mitigation Plan

• Metro Washington:

- MWCOG Climate and Energy Action Plan (2020)
 - 50% below 2005 levels by 2030
 - Becoming a Climate Ready Region and making significant progress to be a Climate Resilient Region by 2030





Source: Maryland Emergency Management Agency

Role of Local Jurisdictions

• Why is Climate Action Plan needed at County level?

- Tailored to needs of local community
- Tailored to goals of local community
- Identifies actions and implementation steps within control of stakeholders in local community

• Lack of Federal Leadership

- 2017: U.S. ceased all participation in the Paris Agreement
- County Climate Coalition, We Are Still In, Global Covenant of Mayors

Examples of Actions by Sector

Example of types of actions by sector to be considered in a CAP from UN Habitat For A Better Urban Living

SECTORAL



Building: Reduction of energy and water consumption in new and existing homes, businesses and public buildings; incentives for green building; resilience to adverse weather (such as heat and flooding).



Energy: Demand management (domestic and business); renewable energy generation; distributed energy systems; resilience of infrastructure; emergency plans for supply disruption.



Transport: Options for mass transit; cleaner fuels; active/non-motorize d transport (walking and bicycling); climate proofing transit infrastructure; congestion pricing and other forms of demand management for private vehicles.



Waste: Reducing, reusing and recycling waste; waste to energy; resilience of landfills to natural disasters.



Water: Demand management (domestic and business); water reuse and recycling; resilience of infrastructure; energy efficient water treatment; emergency plans for supply disruption.



Health: Air quality improvement measures, including reductions of short lived climate pollutants; heat wave (or cold snap) health action plans; prevention of spread of diseases affected by climate change.

Source: UN Habitat

Examples of Cross-Sectoral Actions

Example of cross-sectoral actions to be considered in a CAP from UN Habitat For A Better Urban Living



Source: Adapted from UN Habitat

Best Practices in Climate Action Planning

- 1. Establish a clear CAP development process early
- 2. Establish guiding principles early
- 3. Engage community early
- 4. Understand spheres of control
- 5. Leverage all approaches to governing
- 6. Focus the list of actions
- 7. Identify Implementation Leads

Establish Guiding Principles

Example list of guiding principles for CAP development from UN Habitat For A Better Urban Living



Ambitious



Comprehensive and integrated



Evidence-based



Inclusive



Relevant



Transparent and verifiable



Fair



Actionable

Source: Adapted from UN Habitat

Engage Community Early



Source: City of Sunnyvale

Understand Spheres of Control



Source: Education Week

Leverage All Approaches to Governing

- An effective plan will reflect the various modes of governing that counties and their partners can employ when taking climate action
- Example list of approaches, policies, and mechanisms for CAP development from UN Habitat For A Better Urban Living



Source: Adapted from UN Habitat

CADMUS

Focus The List of Actions



• "CAP 1.0 vs. CAP 2.0"

- CAP 1.0: Laundry list of actions with no clear path to implementation
- CAP 2.0: Focused list of actions with clear implementation leads and steps

Identify Implementation Leads

Example of clearly identifying implementation leads associated with each action from City of New York 1.5°C Plan





Questions

V

Climate Action Planning Overview

Climate Mitigation





CADMUS

Main Sources of GHG Emissions



Electricity Generation: Coal + natural gas power plants

Buildings: Natural Gas + Heating Oil to provide space and water heating



Transportation: Gasoline and diesel to power cars + trucks

Key Actions at State Level

忭

• Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS): 50% of electricity from renewable sources by 2030



- 1 of 11 states to adopt California's stricter vehicle emission standards (California Low Emission Vehicle Standards III). Sets emissions standards for criteria pollutants as well as GHGs. By 2025:
 - 75% less smog-forming pollutants
 - 34% less GHG emissions
 - 10% of new vehicles sold must be Zero Emissions Vehicles (ZEVs)

GHG Reduction Targets

• State:

 In 2015, the Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Act (GGRA) was updated with a target of <u>40%</u> <u>below 2006 levels by 2030</u>

• Region:

 In 2020, the MWCOG Board of Directors approved a reduction goal of <u>50% below 2005 levels by 2030</u>

• County:

 In 2008, County Council adopted a resolution identifying the goal of reducing countywide GHG emissions <u>80% below 2008 levels</u> <u>by 2050</u>

Metropolitan Washington GHG Trends and Goals



Source: MWCOG CEAP

CADMUS

Mitigation Process

Example of high-level steps in CAP mitigation process from UN Habitat For A Better Urban Living



Source: Adopted from UN Habitat

Examples of Mitigation Actions



- Form Community Choice Aggregation
- Establish solar requirements in new construction

- Leveraging existing incentives for energy efficient and/or building electrification
- Adopt stretch codes requiring increased energy efficiency in new construction



- Expanding electric vehicle charging infrastructure on public properties
- Adopt smart growth zoning principles that increase walkability and bikeability of neighborhoods

Climate Action Planning Overview

Climate Adaptation & Resilience



Previous Plans



Vocabulary

Risk

likelihood of harm based on both hazard and exposure *risk* = *hazard x exposure*

Table ES-3: Risk Level of Hazards in Metropolitan Washington

Hazard	Probability	Consequence	Risk
Extreme Heat	3	3	9
Drought	2	3	6
Flooding (Flash and Riverine)	3	3	9
Coastal Flooding	3	2	6
Lightning/Thunderstorm	3	2	6
Extreme Winter Conditions	2	3	6

..... But back to WHAT?

.....

Resilience

capacity of systems to "bounce back" and recover from shock or stress.

- Systems infrastructure
- Social at-risk groups
- Environmental

Adaptive capacity

capacity of systems, institutions, humans and other organisms to *adjust* to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or to respond to consequences.

......

Adaptation

addresses impacts of climate change through preparation and planning to handle the negative results of climate change

Top Climate Hazards / Risks



- 1. Severe Storms (Flood)
- 2. Severe Storms (Wind-related)



3. Flooding: Riverine



4. Tornadoes



5. High Winds6. Hurricanes / Tropical Storms (Wind)



7. Winter Storms / Blizzards

Source: Prince Georges County HMP

Others:

- Extreme Heat/Drought
- Lighting/Thunderstorm
- Coastal Flooding, Sea Level Rise



3 feet of projected flooding near Oxon Creek. Source: <u>Climate Central</u>

Example Climate Adaptation Actions by Hazard



Flooding

- Increase awareness of flood insurance for properties for vulnerable residents
- Improve outreach to targeted communities and around flood prep & recovery



Extreme Winter Conditions



Localized flooding in Prince George's County. Source: WUSA9

• **Retrofit critical facilities and infrastructures with distributed energy resources** to improve resilience during power outages.



Extreme Heat and Drought

- Expand the tree canopy to mitigate the Urban Heat Island Effect (UHIE), targeting medium-density residential areas and prioritizing impervious areas.
- Work with first responders and health institutions to track County heat-related illnesses and fatalities by collecting zip code, ambulance, and emergency room data during extreme heat events.
- Promote xeriscaping, rainwater capture, grey water and water-efficient appliances & fixtures.

Climate Vulnerability & Prioritization Example

Example of risk matrix to assist in climate vulnerability + prioritization from the Community Resilience Building Workshop Guide

Identify and prioritize infrastructural actions.

Example of a **Risk Matrix** filled in with infrastructural actions, priorities, and level of urgency.



Community Resilience Building Workshop Risk Matrix									
				Top 4 Hazards (tornado, fl	oods, wildfire, hurricanes, s	now/ice, drought, sea leve	l rise, heat wave, etc.)		
H-M-L priority for action over the <u>Short or Long term</u> (and <u>Ongoing</u>) <u>V</u> = Vulnerability <u>S</u> = Strength			Coastal Flooding SLR/Storm Surge	Inland Flooding and Rain Events	Ice and Snow	Wind	Priority	Time	
							H · M · L	Short Long Ongoing	
Features	Location	Ownership	V or S						Augoing
Infrastructural	Infrastructural								
Town Campus	Specific	Town	v	Verify risk from flooding events during peak flooding; Verify ma				H	S
Evacuation Routes - Roads	Town-wide	Town/State	v	install highly visible signage for evacuation routes; Develop and implement communication program		н	S		
Electrical Distribution System	Multiple	CL&P/Town	v	Within floodplain area, establish plan to address protection Upgrade transformers; Maintain power line protection and long-term relocation of equipment zone (tree trimming)		н	O-L		
Dams (inland and coastal)	Multiple	Private	v	Prevent possibility of catastrophic dam failure; Identify and remove dams to minimize downstream flooding due to failure		H	L		
Railway and State Bridges	Multiple	Amtrak/State	v	Improve communications between parties; Expand green/gray infrastructure and improve bridge structures; Assess vulnerability and prioritize infrastructure improvement list			м	s	
State Roads/Intersections	Town-wide	State/Town	v	Coordinate with DOT, volunteers, public works to improve response; Need signage to warn of flooding risk in critical intersections		м	L		
Wharves and Shore Infrastructure	Shore	Town-State- Private	v	Pursue comprehensive shorelin community dialogue on retainin				L	S
Waste Water Treatment Facility	Specific	Town	v	Conduct alternative siting feasil risk area within next 25 years.	bility study; Relocate to low			L	L
New Ambulance Center	Specific	Town	s	Continue to support services in budget; Add additional staff and vehicle in next annual cycle			Ongoing		
Zoning Regulations (maintain large lot size)	Multiple	Town	5	Current building codes control development in risky areas; Consider additional zoning incentives (TDRs) to reduce risk to residential units				Ongoing	

CADMUS

Resilience + Adaptation Actions Assessment Criteria

 Examples of resilience + adaptation assessment criteria used by Cadmus on other projects

 Data availability: Low-medium-high ranking of availability of data to assess actions 	 Capacity: Low-medium-high ranking for level of staff effort needed to implement the solution
• Robustness: Low-medium-high ranking of risk of impact from multi-hazards and climate stressors. See Optional Task 1. Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment below for additional.	Climate equity: Low-medium-high ranking of applicability for at-risk, vulnerable, and marginalized populations
 Loss avoidance: Low-medium-high ranking of potential (economic) loss to/of assets, systems, institutions, and populations. See Optional Task 1. Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment below for additional. 	 Political /capacity feasibility: Low-medium-high ranking by jurisdictional authority, institutional capacity, with a description of identified barriers, if any
 Metrics of success: Specific data sets and targets to demonstrate progress toward identified County and partner goals 	 Co-benefits: Low-medium-high ranking and description or quantification of the impact where possible for co- benefits including air quality, public health, housing affordability, job creation, etc.
 Classification of proposed solution: For example, scale, sector, type, local policy, program, incentive, engagement strategy, advocacy action, etc. 	Cost feasibility: Low-medium-high ranking based on data available on policy/program cost
• Key stakeholders and partnership potential: Low- medium-high ranking collaboration potential and list of possible partners, especially at regional level	 Adaptation impact potential: Low-medium-high based on risk mitigation and vulnerabilities addressed
 GHG and mitigation impact complementarity potential: Low-medium-high ranking based on GHG and mitigation analyses gleaned from CECAP 	Timeframe: For example, shovel-ready, in- progress/pipeline, near-term, medium-term, etc.

CADMUS



Questions

V

Climate Action Planning Overview

Designing an Effective Engagement Process and Actionable Plan


Justice and Sustainability Associates

• Cadmus will be partnering with Justice and Sustainability Associates on this project



Sustainability without justice & equity is inadequate. JSA works to ensure that everyone is incorporated into the sustainability & resilience plan and that the plan itself is designed so that everyone withstands and overcomes that which requires them to be sustainable and resilient.

Importance of Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder engagement is critical to creating a plan that...

... is informed by local knowledge and experience

... reflects the values of the community

...has stakeholder **buy-in and support**

...leads to implementation.

Best Practices in Engagement

- A deliberate approach to stakeholder mapping & identification
- Targeted outreach to key stakeholders, particularly historically underrepresented communities
- The best engagement strategies use **multiple approaches to reach stakeholders**- meetings, workshops, surveys, websites, etc.
- Best to engage stakeholders early and throughout the process
- Clearly reflect how stakeholder contributions influenced your final plan
- Create opportunities for stakeholders beyond the planning processimplementation depends on them!

Elements of our Education & Engagement Strategy





Questions

V

Discuss Work Group Membership

Work Groups Structure

Adaptation and Resiliency Work Group

- Help identify key stakeholders
- Provide input into potential adaptation & resiliency strategies
- Help to prioritize strategies and develop final blueprints

Mitigation Work Group

- Help identify key stakeholders
- Review findings of GHG inventories and emission modelling
- Provide input into potential climate mitigation strategies
- Help to prioritize strategies and develop final blueprints

• Education, Communication & Outreach Work Group

- Lead identification of key stakeholders
- Review and contribute to engagement strategy
- Support engagement efforts, including virtual open house and community meetings
- Help to reflect stakeholder concerns and equity considerations of the CAP & blueprints

Work Groups Structure Cont.

- 6-8 members in each group
- Each group to meet at least 3 times beginning in January
- Groups will help shape the three key aspects of the project, provide input, review drafts, and make recommendations to the Commission
- Cadmus/COG team will play supporting role in facilitating Work Group meetings and providing relevant supporting information

Proposed Project Schedule

Task	Subtask	2020			2021									
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Meetings
1: Project	1.1 Kickoff County Staff1.2 Team Roles + Project Schedule1.3 Commission Kickoff		М	M										2 CMS
2: GHG Inventories, Models, Goals	 2.1 Update County-wide + County operations GHG Inventory 2.2 Develop Three Emissions Models 2.3 Summarize Updated Inventory and Emissions Models 2.4 Summarize WG Feedback on Inventory + Emissions Models 2.5 Comm. Meeting: GHG Reduction Goals 				Μ	M								1 MWG 1 CMS
3: Climate Planning Support	 3.1 Identify Draft Climate Actions 3.2 Develop Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (CRVA) 3.3 Summarize Draft Actions for WG 3.4 Analyze + Prioritize Actions 				М		M	ЗM	M					1 AWG 1 CRVA 1 CMS, 1 MWG, 1 AWG 1 CMS
Communication,	 4. 1 Identify Outreach Target Groups/Imp. Partners 4.2 Develop Outreach Strategies and Programs and Materials 4.3 Facilitate Community Public Meetings (virtual) 				Μ	M M		М			M M			3 OWG 3 Public
6: Final CAP	5.1 Progress Reports6.1 Develop Draft CAP6.2 Finalize CAP Technical Report										2M		M	1 MWG, 1 AWG 1 CMS

Acronym Key

CMS	Commission	
MWG	Mitigation Work Group	
AWG	Adaptation Work Group	
CRVA	Climate Risk and Vulnerability Workshop	
OWG	Education, Communication & Outreach Work Group	
Public	Public Meeting	

Proposed Approach

Discussion



Questions

V

Next Steps

County + Consulting Team

- Distribute survey to Commissioners enabling them to rank preference for Working Group membership
- Begin work on government operations GHG inventory + emissions forecast modeling
- Background research on existing County + regional plans and documents
- Begin work on Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (CRVA) process
- Begin work on public engagement plan and develop initial list of outreach target groups and implementation partners

Next Steps Cont.

Next Commission Meeting is Friday, December 18. Agenda items to include:

- Establish guiding principles
- Establish membership and leadership of Work Groups
- Review GHG inventory and projections
- Establish framework for prioritizing actions
- Overview of public engagement plan



Thank You Q&A + Discussion